PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	1	MAY	2006
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference						
K1270 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017	International filing date (day/month/year) 25.02.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.02.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na INV. B05B11/00	itional classification and IPC					
Applicant 3M ESPE AG et al						
This report is the international preli- Authority under Article 35 and trans	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
	f 10 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. Sent to the applicant and to	the International Bureau) a total of sheets	s, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
	reau only) a total of (indicate type and numes related thereto, in electronic form only, and (see Section 802 of the Administrative In	nber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a as indicated in the Supplemental Box structions).				
•		•				
4. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the repor	t					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-establishmer	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	ve step and industrial applicability				
BOX NO. IV Lack of unity of in	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Δ DOX NO. VIII Certain observation	ons on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report				
27.01.2006	10.05.2006					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized officer					
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		September 18 18				
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	epmu d Thanbichler, P					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-8957				

.₽

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017

_							
	Box No. I Basis of the repor	t					
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on						
	the international application in the language in which it was filed						
	☐ international search (und ☐ publication of the interna	onal application into, which is the language of the purposes of: der Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4(a)) examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))					
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>						
	Description, Pages						
	1-16	as originally filed					
	Claims, Numbers						
	1-19	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/12-12/12	as originally filed					
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ The amendments have resu ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (spe ☐ any table(s) related to see	cify):					
.	☐ This report has been established not been made, since they had	cify):					
	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "supergeded "					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

4

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017

1. 🛛						
	ox No. IV Lack of unity of invention In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:					
restricted the claims.						
	_					
	paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee.					
	paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid.					
^ П	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.					
2. 🛘	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.					
This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13. is:		nity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3				
	complied with.					
\boxtimes	not complied with for the	following r	easons:			
	see separate sheet					
l. Co	nsequently, this report has	been esta	blished in r	espect of the following parts of the international application:		
\boxtimes	all parts.			remaining parts of the international application:		
	the parts relating to claims	s Nos				
	,					
Bo	x No. V Reasoned state		ł			
	plicability; citations and e	ment uno xplanatio	ns suppor	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ting such statement		
. Sta	tement .					
	b - 78 IS					
N [velty (N)	Yes:	Claims	8-15		
Nov						
No		No:	Claims	1-7,16-19		
	entive step (IS)		Claims	1-7,16-19 11-15		
Inve	entive step (IS) ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims	11-15 1-7.16-19		
.Inve	•	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	11-15 1-7,16-19		
Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims	11-15 1-7,16-19		
Inve	•	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims	11-15 1-7,16-19		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

This Authority considers that there are 4 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

- I: Claims 1-7; 14-17 directed to a delivery system for controlled dispensing of a substance
- II: Claims 8-9,10-11,18 directed to a sealing system or a method of sealing for a cartridge
- III: Claims 12-13 directed to a self-opening closure system for a cartridge
- IV: Claims 14-15 directed to a delivery system with groove-shaped compartments and the pistons are correspondingly shaped to the compartments

The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

The special technical features of the first and second group of invention which they have in common are the cartridge with two compartments, with mixing means and a plunger with at least two pistons.

However, these features are already known from D3 (rf. to item V.)

The special technical feature of the second group of invention is a sealing material which will be penetrated by pin rods.

In the third group of invention the special technical feature is a sealing arrangement on inlet and outlet openings.

The special technical feature of the forth group is the special configuration of the compartments with respective pistons.

In conclusion, the groups of claims are not linked by common or corresponding special technical features and define 4 different inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept. The Applicant considers in his communication dated

January 27, 2006 that the application is in line with the requirements of unity of invention, because each of the independent claims recites a cartridge having at least two compartments. However, this feature is known from D3.

The application, hence does not meet the requirements of unity of invention as defined in Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

As the Applicant has paid the additional fees for all parts of the invention, this Report is based on claims 1-19.

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: WO 94/22507 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY) 13 October 1994 (1994-10-13)
 - D2: DE 102 33 925 A1 (MICHELER, CLEMENS; RUHLAND, BERND) 12 February 2004 (2004-02-12)
 - D3: DE 201 07 507 U1 (DENTACO DENTALINDUSTRIE UND -MARKETING GMBH) 7 March 2002 (2002-03-07)
 - D4: US-B1-6 328 229 (DURONIO JAMES ET AL) 11 December 2001 (2001-12-11)
 - D5: EP-A-0 689 874 (BEHRINGWERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT; AVENTIS BEHRING GESELLSCHAFT MIT BESC) 3 January 1996 (1996-01-03)
 - D6: US-A-4 978 336 (CAPOZZI ET AL) 18 December 1990 (1990-12-18)
 - D7: WO 91/03224 A (POLAK, ROBERT, B) 21 March 1991 (1991-03-21)
 - D8: EP-A-1 188 455 (TRANSCOJECT GESELLSCHAFT FUER MEDIZINISCHE GERAETE MBH & CO. KG) 20 March 2002 (2002-03-20)
 - D9: WO 97/14460 A (SYNTHELABO, S.A; WARD, WILLIAM, JOHN) 24 April 1997 (1997-04-24)
 - D10: US-A-4 738 660 (LUCAS ET AL) 19 April 1988 (1988-04-19)
 - D11: US-A-3 098 483 (NIELSEN HELMUTH) 23 July 1963 (1963-07-23)
 - D12: US-A-4 813 433 (DOWNEY ET AL) 21 March 1989 (1989-03-21)
 - D13: DE 25 14 201 A1 (IDE,WERNER) 14 October 1976 (1976-10-14)
 - D14: US-B1-6 290 682 (MYERS JAN WILLEM MARINUS) 18 September 2001 (2001-09-18)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS

2.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D3 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A delivery system comprising a cartridge (310) having at least two compartments (312; 314) for storing material components and a mixing means for mixing the material components to form a substance (page 13 paragraph 2); a plunger (320; being an integral part, best seen in fig. 5) for causing the material components to flow into the mixing means (fig. 16), the plunger having at least two pistons (322; 324) for sliding correspondingly into the at least two compartments (312;314); actuation means (326) for a controlled dispensing of the mixed material components (fig. 9).

2.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

Document D7 is considered the closest prior art and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A sealing system for sealing a cartridge of a delivery system, comprising a sealing material (page 9 last paragraph); a cartridge for storing a material; a plunger with a pin rod corresponding to the compartment where the material is stored (fig. 1); wherein, as the plunger is moved forward, the pin rod is adapted to penetrate the sealing material thereby pushing the material within the compartment forward towards the front of the cartridge such that tight sealing is provided where the sealing material is penetrated by the pin rod (page 10 lines 20-25; page 11 first paragraph).

Claim 8 differs from this known system in that:

The subject-matter of claim 8 consists of at least two material compartments with two pin rods.

The subject-matter of claim 8 is therefore new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

However, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT,

PCT/EP2005/002017

because the subject-matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. The reason is the following:

To apply a substance of at least two material components the use of multiple material compartments are well known for a man skilled in the art (rf. to D3). The material components will be mixed by forcing them out of the compartments. Hence, without applying any inventive skill the man skilled in the art would arrive to a solution according to the subject-matter of claim 8.

2.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 10

Claim 10 is a corresponding method claim to the subject-matter of claim 8. Therefore the same argumentation as set out under item 2.2 applies to claim 10.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 10 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 11

The subject-matter of claim 11 seems to fulfill the requirements of the PCT with respect to Article 33(1) and 33(2), because the feature of the two pin rods contacting corresponding pistons underneath the sealing material is neither known nor rendered obvious by the available prior art:

2.5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12

Document D14 is considered to be the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 12 and discloses an infusion set with a valve arrangement comprising a diaphragma. The infusion set does not provide any pistons for sliding in corresponding material compartments. Hence, the subject-matter of claim 12 seems to fulfill the requirements of Article 33 PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

2.6 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 14

PCT/EP2005/002017

The special arrangement of groove shaped compartments with corresponding pistons compressing an exterior surface of the sealing material thereby compressing the compartments so as to press the material components out of the cartridge is neither known nor rendered obvious by the documents cited in the Search Report. Therefore, the subject-matter is new and inventive according to Article 33 PCT.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIM 16,17

Dependent claims 16 and 17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Re Item VII.

Independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3, D7 and D14 are not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII.

The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 16 last paragraph implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/002017

According to the Guidelines C-III, 4.10 claim 19 is not allowable.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 3 1 OCT 2005 WIPO

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

, to ...

اذرو

To: PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2005/002017 25.02.2005 27.02.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B05B11/00 **Applicant** 3M ESPE AG

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
☐ Box No. II	Priority
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
🛛 Box No. VII	Certain defects In the international application
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Thanbichler, P

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8957



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017

-								
	Во	x No.	I Basis of the opinion					
1.	Wit the	th reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in lage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		iaiiy	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following uage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ler Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
	ł	□ а	sequence listing					
	{	□ ta	able(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. fo	ormat	of material:					
		□ ir	written format					
	[⊐ ir	computer readable form					
	c. ti	me of	filing/iumishing:					
		□ c	ontained in the international application as filed.					
		□ fil	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	ָר		rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional as is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	itiona	comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002017

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

This Authority considers that there are 4 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

- I: Claims 1-7; 14-17 directed to a delivery system for controlled dispensing of a substance
- II: Claims 8-9,10-11,18 directed to a sealing system or a method of sealing for a cartridge
- III: Claims 12-13 directed to a self-opening closure system for a cartridge
- IV: Claims 14-15 directed to a delivery system with groove-shaped compartments and the pistons are correspondingly shaped to the compartments

The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

The special technical features of the first and second group of invention which they have in common are the cartridge with two compartments, with mixing means and a plunger with at least two pistons.

However, these features are already known from D1 (rf. to item V.)

The special technical feature of the second group of invention is a sealing material which will be penetrated by pin rods.

In the third group of invention the special technical feature is a sealing arrangement on inlet and outlet openings.

The special technical feature of the forth group is the special configuration of the compartments with respective pistons.

In conclusion, the groups of claims are not linked by common or corresponding special technical features and define 4 different inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept.

طملج

)

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A delivery system comprising a cartridge having at least two compartments for storing material components (claim 1) and a mixing means for mixing the material components to form a substance; a plunger for causing the material components to flow into the mixing means (page 9 paragraph 2), the plunger having at least two pistons for sliding correspondingly into the at least two compartments (figs. 33,34,42,43,55); actuation means for a controlled dispensing of the mixed material components (page 2 lines 32-35).

2.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

Document D7 is considered the closest prior art and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A sealing system for sealing a cartridge of a delivery system, comprising a sealing material (page 9 last paragraph); a cartridge for storing a material; a plunger with a pin rod corresponding to the compartment where the material is stored (fig. 1); wherein, as the plunger is moved forward, the pin rod is adapted to penetrate the sealing material thereby pushing the material within the compartment forward toward the front of the cartridge such that tight sealing is provided where the sealing material is penetrated by the pin rod (page 10 lines 20-25; page 11 first paragraph).

Claim 8 differs from this known system in that:

The subject-matter of claim 8 consists of at least two material compartments with two pin rods.

The subject-matter of claim 8 is therefore new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

However, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. The reason is the following:

To apply a substance of at least two material components the use of multiple material

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/002017

known nor rendered obvious by the documents cited in the Search Report.

Therefore, the subject-matter is new and inventive according to Article 33 PCT.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIM 16,17

Dependent claims 16 and 17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Re Item VII.

7

Independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

According to the Guidelines C-III, 4.10 claim 19 is not allowable.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3, D7 and D14 are not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 16 last paragraph implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.